

ON THE DISTRIBUTION OF GALOIS GROUPS

RAINER DIETMANN

ABSTRACT. Let G be a subgroup of the symmetric group S_n , and let $\delta_G = |S_n/G|^{-1}$ where $|S_n/G|$ is the index of G in S_n . Then there are at most $O_{n,\epsilon}(H^{n-1+\delta_G+\epsilon})$ monic integer polynomials of degree n having Galois group G and height not exceeding H , so there are only ‘few’ polynomials having ‘small’ Galois group.

1. INTRODUCTION

On probabilistic grounds, one should expect that ‘almost all’ polynomials have the full symmetric group as Galois group acting on the roots. More precisely, let

$$E_n(H) = \#\{(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbf{Z}^n : |a_i| \leq H \ (1 \leq i \leq n) \text{ and} \\ \text{the splitting field of } X^n + a_1X^{n-1} + \dots + a_n \\ \text{has not Galois group } S_n\}.$$

Then van der Waerden ([19]) showed that

$$E_n(H) \ll_n H^{n-6/((n-2)\log\log H)}.$$

Using the large sieve, Gallagher ([9]) improved this to

$$(1) \quad E_n(H) \ll_n H^{n-1/2} \log H.$$

Since there are asymptotically only $c_n H^{n-1} + o(H^{n-1})$ polynomials as above which are reducible over $\mathbf{Q}$ (see [4]), one could conjecture that $E_n(H) \ll_n H^{n-1}$. Apart from an epsilon in the exponent, this conjecture has been confirmed by Lefton ([16]) for $n = 3$ and by the author for $n = 4$ ([7]). The following result gives further support to this conjecture, showing that ‘small’ Galois groups are rare:

Theorem 1. *Let $\epsilon > 0$, and let n be a positive integer. Further, let G be a subgroup of the symmetric group S_n , and let*

$$N_n(H; G) = \#\{(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbf{Z}^n : |a_i| \leq H \ (1 \leq i \leq n) \text{ and} \\ X^n + a_1X^{n-1} + \dots + a_n \text{ has Galois group } G\}.$$

Then

$$N_n(H; G) \ll_{n,\epsilon} H^{n-1+\delta_G+\epsilon},$$

where

$$\delta_G = \frac{1}{|S_n/G|}$$

and $|S_n/G|$ is the index of G in S_n .

Note that in particular we immediately obtain the bound $E_n(H) \ll_{n,\epsilon} H^{n-1/2+\epsilon}$ from Theorem 1, which is only slightly worse than Gallagher's bound (1). However, if we exclude the worst case $|S_n/G| = 2$, we can do much better. This is a situation occurring sometimes in applications, for instance Zarhin [20] proved that the Galois action on the Tate modules of a Jacobian variety of a curve $C/\mathbf{Q}$ of the type $y^2 = f(x)$ is 'big' provided $\deg(f) \geq 5$ and the Galois group of f contains the alternating group A_n on n letters. So let

$$E_n(H)' = \#\{(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbf{Z}^n : |a_i| \leq H \ (1 \leq i \leq n) \text{ and} \\ X^n + a_1 X^{n-1} + \dots + a_n \text{ has not Galois group } S_n \text{ or } A_n\}.$$

Clearly the upper bound in (1) also holds true for the quantity $E_n(H)'$, but we can improve this considerably by using the fact that transitive proper subgroups of S_n different from A_n have large index in terms of n :

Corollary 1. *For $n \geq 9$ we have*

$$E_n(H)' \ll_{n,\epsilon} H^{n-1+e(n)+\epsilon}$$

where

$$e(n) = \frac{2}{\binom{n}{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor}}$$

and $\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$ is the largest integer not exceeding $\frac{n}{2}$.

Note that $e(n)$ tends rapidly to zero as n approaches infinity. Since by Chela's result [4] there are only $O(H^{n-1})$ reducible polynomials f in question, and since irreducible f have a splitting field with transitive Galois group, Corollary 1 follows immediately from Theorem 1 and Lemma 3 in §2.

For even degree trinomials, we can also handle A_n and obtain a bound which for large n is quite close to the expected one:

Theorem 2. *Let n and r be coprime positive integers where $n > r$, $n \geq 10$ and n is even. Then*

$$\#\{(a_r, a_n) \in \mathbf{Z}^2 : |a_r|, |a_n| \leq H \text{ and} \\ X^n + a_r X^{n-r} + a_n \text{ has not Galois group } S_n\} \ll_{n,\epsilon} H^{1+\frac{1}{n}+\epsilon}.$$

Note that the condition $\gcd(r, n) = 1$ here is obviously necessary (otherwise the Galois group would always be strictly smaller than S_n), whereas forcing n to be even is a restriction resulting from the method of proof.

Unlike previous approaches to this kind of problem using sieve methods incorporating local information modulo p for many primes p , we work globally, using Galois resolvents and this way reduce the original problem to one about counting integer points on certain varieties. This is the reason why we are able to go beyond a square root saving, which is typically the best one can obtain by the large sieve. However, one should note that one of our key ingredients (see [10]) also makes use of suitable reductions modulo p .

Since Gallagher's paper [9] has had a few applications and extensions since its publication, for example to polynomials with some of the coefficients a_i fixed ([5]), reciprocal polynomials ([6]), characteristic polynomials of unimodular matrices ([18]) or L -functions of algebraic curves ([3], [15]), one might wonder if our methods are

also applicable in those cases. However, it is not obvious how to extend our methods to those problems. One of the main obstacles seems to be a suitable analogue of Lemma 2.

Acknowledgment. The author would like to thank Dr. T.D. Browning for bringing the reference [2] to his attention.

2. PREPARATIONS

Lemma 1. *Let $f(X) = a_0X^n + a_1X^{n-1} + \dots + a_n \in \mathbf{C}[X]$. Then all roots $z \in \mathbf{C}$ of the equation $f(z) = 0$ satisfy the inequality*

$$|z| \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt[n]{2} - 1} \cdot \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} \sqrt[k]{\left| \frac{a_k}{a_0 \binom{n}{k}} \right|}.$$

Proof. This is Theorem 3 in §27 of [17].

Lemma 2. *Let n and r be coprime positive integers where $n > r$, and let $a_1, \dots, a_{r-1}, a_{r+1}, \dots, a_{n-1}$ be fixed integers. Then the polynomial*

$$X^n + a_1X^{n-1} + \dots + a_{n-1}X + t$$

has for all but at most $n^2 + n$ integers a_r the full symmetric group S_n as Galois group over the rational function field $\mathbf{Q}(t)$.

Proof. This follows from the proof of Satz 1 in [12]; see also the introduction of [13].

Lemma 3. *Let n be a positive integer with $n \geq 9$, and let G be a transitive subgroup of S_n with $G \neq S_n$ and $G \neq A_n$. Then*

$$|S_n/G| \geq \frac{1}{2} \binom{n}{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor}.$$

Proof. This follows immediately from Theorem 5.2B in [8] (using $r = \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$).

Lemma 4. *Let n be a positive integer with $n \geq 9$, and let G be a subgroup of S_n different from S_n and A_n . Then either G has index n or index $2n$ in S_n , and leaves one element in $\{1, \dots, n\}$ fixed, or G has index at least $\frac{n(n-1)}{2}$ in S_n .*

Proof. This follows immediately from Theorem 5.2B in [8] (using $r = 2$).

Lemma 5. *Let n be a positive integer, G a subgroup of S_n ,*

$$f(X) = X^n + a_1X^{n-1} + \dots + a_n \in \mathbf{Z}[X]$$

with complex roots $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ and

$$\Phi(z; a_1, \dots, a_n) = \prod_{\sigma \in S_n/G} \left(z - \sum_{\tau \in G} \alpha_{\sigma(\tau(1))} \alpha_{\sigma(\tau(2))}^2 \cdots \alpha_{\sigma(\tau(n))}^n \right).$$

Then this Galois resolvent $\Phi(z; a_1, \dots, a_n)$ is a polynomial in $z, a_1, \dots, a_n$ with integer coefficients. Moreover, if the splitting field of f over the rationals has Galois group G , then $\Phi(z; a_1, \dots, a_n)$ has a rational (and thus integral) root z .

Proof. This is a well known result, see for example Lemma 3.2 in [14].

Lemma 6. *Let $F \in \mathbf{Z}[X_1, X_2]$ be of degree d and irreducible over $\mathbf{Q}$. Further, let P_1, P_2 be real numbers such that $P_1, P_2 \geq 1$, and let*

$$N(F; P_1, P_2) = \#\{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{Z}^2 : F(\mathbf{x}) = 0 \text{ and } |x_i| \leq P_i \ (1 \leq i \leq 2)\}.$$

Moreover, let

$$T = \max \left\{ \prod_{i=1}^2 P_i^{e_i} \right\}$$

with the maximum taken over all integer 2-tuples (e_1, e_2) for which the corresponding monomial $X_1^{e_1} X_2^{e_2}$ occurs in $F(X_1, X_2)$ with nonzero coefficient. Then

$$(2) \quad N(F; P_1, P_2) \ll_{d, \epsilon} \max\{P_1, P_2\}^\epsilon \exp\left(\frac{\log P_1 \log P_2}{\log T}\right).$$

Proof. After homogenizing F , this becomes the special case $P_1 = 1$ of Theorem 1 in [2]; see also formula (3) there, and see [11], Theorem 15 for an earlier reference for this result. Note that in [2], it is assumed that F is absolutely irreducible rather than irreducible over the rationals, but as remarked in [10], top of page 556, if F is irreducible over the rationals, but not absolutely irreducible, then by Bézout's Theorem $N(F; P_1, P_2) \ll_d 1$, so we may clearly assume that F is absolutely irreducible.

Remark. Bombieri and Pila's result [1] is essentially the special case $P_1 = P_2$ of Lemma 6. Since in our later application of this lemma our box will be rather lopsided, we need this more powerful generalization.

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1

To prove Theorem 1, we have to show that if G is a subgroup of S_n of index m , then

$$(3) \quad \#\{(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbf{Z}^n : |a_i| \leq H \ (1 \leq i \leq n) \text{ and } X^n + a_1 X^{n-1} + \dots + a_n \text{ has Galois group } G\} \ll_{n, \epsilon} H^{n-1 + \frac{1}{m} + \epsilon}.$$

So fix such G and let $\Phi(z; a_1, \dots, a_n)$ be the corresponding Galois resolvent from Lemma 5. By Lemma 5, the resolvent $\Phi(z; a_1, \dots, a_n)$ is of the form

$$(4) \quad \Phi(z; a_1, \dots, a_n) = z^m + b_1(a_1, \dots, a_n)z^{m-1} + \dots + b_m(a_1, \dots, a_n),$$

where the b_i are suitable polynomials in $a_1, \dots, a_n$ with integer coefficients. Now if

$$(5) \quad |a_i| \leq H \quad (1 \leq i \leq n)$$

and

$$f(X) = X^n + a_1 X^{n-1} + \dots + a_n$$

has Galois group G , then by Lemma 5 the resolvent $\Phi(z) = \Phi(z; a_1, \dots, a_n)$ has an integer root z . We seek to obtain an upper bound on $|z|$. From Lemma 1 it is clear that there exists a positive constant α depending at most on n such that $\alpha \geq 1$ and $|z| \ll H^\alpha$. Here and in the following we adopt the convention that all implied constants depend at most on n and ϵ . Thus if (5) holds and f has Galois group G , then $\Phi(z)$ has an integer root z with $|z| \ll H^\alpha$.

Now choose $a_1, \dots, a_{n-2} \in \mathbf{Z}$ with $|a_i| \leq H$ ($1 \leq i \leq n-2$). We want to bound the number of integers a_{n-1}, a_n with $|a_{n-1}|, |a_n| \leq H$ such that f has Galois group

G . With respect to (3), it is sufficient to show that there are at most $O(H^{1+\frac{1}{m}+\epsilon})$ such a_{n-1}, a_n . By Lemma 2, for our fixed $a_1, \dots, a_{n-2}$ the polynomial

$$X^n + a_1 X^{n-1} + \dots + a_{n-1} X + t$$

has for all but at most $n^2 + n$ values of a_{n-1} the full symmetric group S_n as Galois group over the rational function field $\mathbf{Q}(t)$. With respect to (3) it thus suffices to fix any such a_{n-1} with $|a_{n-1}| \leq H$ for which

$$X^n + a_1 X^{n-1} + \dots + a_{n-1} X + t$$

has Galois group S_n over $\mathbf{Q}(t)$ and then show that for those fixed $a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}$ there are at most $O(H^{\frac{1}{m}+\epsilon})$ possible $a_n \in \mathbf{Z}$ with $|a_n| \leq H$ for which

$$f(X) = X^n + a_1 X^{n-1} + \dots + a_n$$

has Galois group G .

So let us consider the Galois resolvent $\Phi(z, a_n) = \Phi(z; a_1, \dots, a_n)$ as a polynomial in z and a_n . Since

$$X^n + a_1 X^{n-1} + \dots + a_{n-1} X + t$$

has Galois group S_n over $\mathbf{Q}(t)$, the resolvent $\Phi(z, a_n)$ must be irreducible over the rationals. Moreover, by (4) the resolvent $\Phi(z, a_n)$ obviously has degree at least m in z , since an additive term z^m occurs. Further, if f has Galois group G for a particular choice of a_n with $|a_n| \leq H$, then $\Phi(z) = \Phi(z, a_n)$ must have an integer root z with $|z| \ll H^\alpha$. So it is sufficient to bound above the number of integer zeros of $\Phi(z, a_n)$ with $|z| \ll H^\alpha$ and $|a_n| \leq H$. To this end we apply Lemma 6 with $P_1 \asymp H^\alpha$ and $P_2 = H$. Since $\Phi(z, a_n)$ has degree at least m in z , we have $T \gg H^{m\alpha}$. Hence by Lemma 6 the quantity

$$\#\{(z, a_n) \in \mathbf{Z}^2 : |z| \ll H^\alpha, |a_n| \leq H \text{ and } \Phi(z, a_n) = 0\}$$

under scrutiny can be bounded by

$$H^\epsilon \exp\left(\frac{\log H}{m} + O(1)\right) \ll H^{1/m+\epsilon}.$$

This completes the proof of the theorem.

4. PROOF OF THEOREM 2

Let us first deal with irreducible $f(X) = X^n + a_r X^{n-r} + a_n$. Then the splitting field of f has a transitive Galois group G . Let us first assume that $G \neq A_n$, $G \neq S_n$. Then we can just copy the proof of Theorem 1, checking that still f generically has Galois group S_n by Lemma 2. Lemma 3 then produces a saving good enough, because $n \geq 10$. If $G = A_n$, then the reasoning in section 3 of [16] gives what we want, since n is even: Using an explicit discriminant formula being quadratic in a_r and reducing the problem to counting points on conics one can even save $-1 + \epsilon$ in the exponent. Reducible f can be handled the following way: Suppose first that such f has a rational and thus integer zero x . The number of such f can be bounded as follows: By Lemma 1 we have $|x| \ll H$, and by Lemma 2, for all but at most $n^2 + n$ choices of a_r the polynomial $X^n + a_r X^{n-r} + a_n$ as a polynomial in X and a_n is irreducible. Now Lemma 6 gives at most $O(H^{\frac{1}{n}+\epsilon})$ choices for a_n and x with $|a_n| \leq H, |x| \ll H$, and by taking the a_r into account we find at most $O(H^{1+\frac{1}{n}+\epsilon})$ such trinomials f having an integer zero. If f is reducible, but has no integer zero, then f splits off in factors each of degree at least two over

the rationals. Let G be the Galois group of the splitting field of f . Then G must be intransitive, hence different from S_n and A_n , and G cannot leave one element fixed, since otherwise f would split off a linear factor, implying an integer zero for f . By Lemma 4 we conclude that G has index at least $\frac{n(n-1)}{2}$ in S_n and again we can copy the proof of Theorem 1 for this G and trinomials f , obtaining the bound $O(H^{1+\frac{1}{n(n-1)/2}+\epsilon}) = O(H^{1+\frac{1}{n}+\epsilon})$ since $n \geq 10$. This completes the proof of Theorem 2.

REFERENCES

- [1] BOMBIERI, E. & PILA, J. The number of integral points on arcs and ovals, *Duke Math. J.* **59** (1989), 337–357.
- [2] BROWNING, T.D. & HEATH-BROWN, D.R. Plane curves in boxes and equal sums of two powers, *Math. Z.* **251** (2005), 233–247.
- [3] CHAVDAROV, N. The generic irreducibility of the numerator of the zeta function in a family of curves with large monodromy, *Duke Math. J.* **87** (1997), 151–180.
- [4] CHELA, R. Reducible polynomials, *J. London Math. Soc.* **38** (1963), 183–188.
- [5] COHEN, S.D. The distribution of the Galois groups of integral polynomials, *Illinois J. Math.* **23** (1979), 135–152.
- [6] DAVIS, S., DUKE, W. & SUN, X. Probabilistic Galois theory of reciprocal polynomials, *Exposition. Math.* **16** (1998), 263–270.
- [7] DIETMANN, R. Probabilistic Galois theory for quartic polynomials, *Glasgow Math. J.* **48** (2006), no. 3, 553–556.
- [8] DIXON, J.D. & MORTIMER, B. Permutation groups, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, New York (1996).
- [9] GALLAGHER, P.X. The large sieve and probabilistic Galois theory, *Proceedings of Symposia in Pure Mathematics* XXIII (1973, A.M.S.).
- [10] HEATH-BROWN, D.R. The density of rational points on curves and surfaces, *Ann. of Math.* **155** (2002), 553–598.
- [11] HEATH-BROWN, D.R. Counting rational points on algebraic varieties, Springer Lecture Notes **1891** (2006), 51–95.
- [12] HERING, H. Seltenheit der Gleichungen mit Affekt bei linearem Parameter, *Math. Ann.* **186** (1970), 263–270.
- [13] HERING, H. Über Koeffizientenbeschränkungen affektloser Gleichungen, *Math. Ann.* **195** (1972), 121–136.
- [14] KLÜNERS, J. & MALLE, G. Explicit Galois realization of transitive groups of degree up to 15, *J. Symbol. Comp.* **30** (2000), 675–716.
- [15] KOWALSKI, E. The large sieve, monodromy and zeta functions of curves, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **601** (2006), 29–69.
- [16] LEFTON, P. On the Galois groups of cubics and trinomials, *Acta Arith.* **XXXV** (1979), 239–246.
- [17] MARDEN, M. Geometry of polynomials, second edition, Mathematical Surveys, No. 3, *American Mathematical Society* (1966).
- [18] RIVIN, I. Walks on groups, counting reducible matrices, polynomials, and surface and free group automorphisms, *Duke Math. J.* **142** (2008), 353–379.
- [19] VAN DER WAERDEN, B.L. Die Seltenheit der reduziblen Gleichungen und die Gleichungen mit Affekt, *Monatsh. Math.* **43** (1936), 137–147.
- [20] ZARHIN, Y.G. Very simple 2-adic representations and hyperelliptic Jacobians, *Mosc. Math. J.* **2** (2002), 403–451.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, ROYAL HOLLOWAY, UNIVERSITY OF LONDON, TW20 0EX
EGHAM, UNITED KINGDOM

E-mail address: Rainer.Dietmann@rhul.ac.uk